Table	I.	Α	Compai	ison	of th	e H	exosam	ine	Content	of	Liver
	Nu	cle	i and Mi	toch	ondria	a fro	m Mala	thio	on-Treat	ed	
				vs. I	Untre	ated	Rats ^a				

Malathion	Hexosamine concentration						
fed, mg/kg rat	Nuclei, mg/mg N	Mitochondria, mg/mg N					
0	0.016 ± 0.001	0.034 ± 0.003					
250	0.016 ± 0.001	0.026 ± 0.003					
Difference	0	0.008					
Significance	p > 0.1	0.01 > p > 0.001					
^a Each of four rer	lications includes pooled	livers of five male rats.					

Table II. A Comparison of ³⁵SO₄²⁻ Uptake and Swelling of Liver Mitochondria from Malathion-Treated vs. Untreated Rats^a

Malathion fed, mg/kg rat	³⁵ S Sulfate uptake, cpm/mg N	Swelling, % decrease in absorbance
0	2462 ± 91	53 ± 1
250	1437 ± 233	49 ± 1
Difference	1025	4
Significance	0.02 > p > 0.01	0.02 > p > 0.01
^a Data are average	es $\pm SE$ of values obtained	d from five female rats.

The apparent reversal of the malathion effect on specific activity and hexosamine content which has been reported when whole mitochondria are compared with mitochondrial membranes may be explained by assuming a loss in membrane nitrogen. Based on the nitrogen content of the whole mitochondria, there is a 25% decrease in hexosamine content, but based on the nitrogen content of the membrane, there is a 10%increase in hexosamine content as a result of malathion intoxication. Therefore, in order to obtain these data, the mitochondria would have to lose 25% of the mucopolysaccharide and 35% of the nitrogen in their membranes. It can be argued that the apparent loss of membrane nitrogen and hexosamine is an artifact of the extraction procedure. However, the ³⁵S specific activity of the mucopolysaccharide in the malathion-fed and corn oil-fed mitochondrial membranes is $8.0\,\times\,10^{_5}$ cpm and $8.5\,\times\,10^{_5}$ cpm per mg of hexosamine, respectively. Since this difference is only 6%, preparation artifacts could not explain the differences observed. Thus,

Table III. A Comparison of ${}^{35}SO_4{}^2$ - Uptake and He	exosamine
Content of Salt-Extracted Liver Mitochondria	rom
Malathion-Treated vs. Untreated Rats ^a	

Malathion fed, mg/kg rat	³⁵ S Sulfate uptake, cpm/mg N	Hexosamine concentration, mg/mg N
0	2290	0.029
250	2729	0.032
Difference	439	0.003
^a These data were obtained	d from the pooled liver	s of 15 female rats

the apparent loss of membrane nitrogen, mucopolysaccharide, and a decrease in the swelling of mitochondria isolated from the livers of malathion-fed rats indicate that malathion intoxication damages mitochondria and impairs their function.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors are indebted to the American Cyanamid Company, Princeton, N. J., for the donation of the malathion. This paper is published by the permission of the Dean, Tennessee Agricultural Experiment Station.

LITERATURE CITED

- Albert, A., "Selective Toxicity," Wiley, New York, N. Y., 1965, p 280.
- Bigley, W. S., Plapp, F. W., Jr., J. Insect. Physiol., 8, 545 (1962).
 Boas, N. F., J. Biol. Chem. 204, 553 (1953).
 Disney, G. W., Smith, J. T., Fed. Proc. 26, 427 (1967).
 Disney, G. W., Smith, J. T., J. AGR. FOOD CHEM. 18, 541 (1970).
 Fulton, S. F., Smith, J. T., J. Nutr. 100, 525 (1970).

- Hogeboom, G. H., Methods Enzymol. 1, 16 (1955)

- Hogeboom, G. H., Methods Enzymol. 1, 16 (1953).
 Levin, E., Thomas, L. E., Exp. Cell Res. 22, 363 (1961).
 Mahin, D. T., Lofberg, R. T., Anal. Biochem. 16, 500 (1966).
 Smith, J. T., Funckes, A. J., Barak, A. J., Thomas, L. E., Exp. Cell Res. 13, 96 (1957).
 Stavinoha, W. B., Rieger, J. R., Jr., Ryan, L. C., Smith, P. W., Advan. Chem. Serv. 60, 79 (1966).

Tedeschi, H., Harris, D. L., Arch. Biochem. Biophys. 58, 52 (1955). Willets, C. O., Ogg, C. L., J. Ass. Offic. Agr. Chem. 33, 179 (1950).

Beth Feland John T. Smith*

Department of Nutrition University of Tennessee Knoxville, Tennessee 37916

Received for review March 14, 1972. Accepted July 24, 1972.

A Pesticide Residue Data Information Retrieval System

The retrieval of laboratory, residue, and field data necessary for the formulation of safe and effective pest control programs is accomplished by a computer search of previously coded data collected from various locations. The retrieval program uses up to 16 search keys, including chemical, crop, and analytical method used. A printed report is pre-pared which contains 24 field and laboratory parameters, sample identification number, and residue found. The program is designed to run on a small computer using disk storage for all data.

The obvious culmination of any pesticide research program is the publication and interpretation of data and the intergration of all data available into practical recommendations for the safe and effective utilization of the chemical under study.

The data amassed by many investigators in countless controlled experiments, ranging over field and orchard, domestic and laboratory animals, and extending over a period of many years, present a difficult problem in information retrieval when recommendations for a particular pesticide are finally contemplated. Numerous retrieval systems were studied, including the notched edge cards (Elias and Warren, 1962). This method, however, becomes cumbersome as the data collection grows. The technique used by the pharmaceutical

J. AGR. FOOD CHEM., VOL. 20, NO. 6, 1972 1275

			PESTIC	DE RESI REQ	DUE ANAI UEST	A'815				
				FIELD	DATA					
INVES	TIG.VTOR	Taschenb	erg0	$\overline{\mathrm{DTC}}$	APPLIC	ATION RAT	Е	1.5	1.5	
CROP.	·······	Grapes		1(40)	APPLIC	ATION RAT	E UNITS	lbs/.	1 [8]	
PESTIC	IDE	Torak	10	0114	NUMBE	R APPLICA	TIONS _	3	3	
CLASS	Ins	ecticide		5	DATE I	AST APPLIC	CATION	240	240	
YEAR.	1	968		68	PLANT	ING DATE _	Not K	nown	_T	
FORM	LATION	Wettable P	owder	18	SOIL T	VPE	Not Kno	wn		
SOURC	E	Hercules		4.4	TOTAL	RAINFALL	25	inches	25	
ACTIVI	E INGRED	IENTS	50%	50	FIELD	COMMENTS	N	one		
APPLIC	ATION M	Hi ETHOD <u>Pr</u>	igh <u>essure</u>	45					(T)	
APPLIC	ATION TY	PEF	liage	5	HARVE	ST DATE	282		282	
FACTO	R STUDIE	D Weathe	ring	4 5	OTHER PESTICIDES APPLIED None					
				LABI	DATA	120110122				
ANALY	TICAL M	THOD G	.C.	210	FXTRA	CTION MET	HOD	Reynold	ds 3.0	
SAVET	INC METE				STOR	cr.	Frozen			
INSTRE	MENT	Microt	er	·	OLEAN	UE	None		·	
COLUM		6 ft			CLEAN	Lr	Not a	pplicable		
COLUM	IN SIZE	35 01/	, ,		WAVE	LENGTH	Not	applied		
SUBST	RATE	Gas Chaum			STD. CI	STD. CURVE (MCG.) 220°C				
SUPPOI	RT	No.	×		TEMP. COLUMN 220°C					
CARRI	ER GAS	.•2			TEMP. DETECTOR					
RETEN	TION TIM	e <u>7.95</u>	cm		TEMP. INJECTOR240°C					
DETEC	for	lame Photor	neter		STANDARD ADDED To Blender					
ANALY	ST	S. D. Gibbs	[2	9 8	RECOVERY100%					
LAB CO	LAB COMMENTS Samples Frozen								30	
Lield	Lab	Data	D					1		
no.	no.	sampled	Extd.	Date Anal	sample	sampte wt.	Unc.	Cor.	Notes	
3 1	1526	210	11/4	11/5	560	100g		5 60		
3B	1527	240	11/4	11/5	470	100g		4.20		

Figure 1. Request for pesticide residue analysis

(Geer and Howard, 1962) and pesticide (Wieman, 1964) industries based on the retrieval of the location of the data requested was rejected because of the large number of experiment stations and laboratories located throughout the state submitting samples. A more advanced system, reported by Waldo et al. (1964), indicated the coding and storing of all field trial data. The requirements of the Cornell Pesticide Residue Laboratories and Extension service, however, mandated the inclusion of laboratory analysis and residue, as well as field data. It was for this reason that the development of a computer-based system into which all residue and field data could be introduced was undertaken. This information is now readily available for recall when needed by laboratory or extension personnel, and by state, federal, or commercial scientists involved in toxicological evaluations prior to possible public use clearance. Over 20,000 of the samples submitted to the laboratories since 1956 have been recorded.

The foundation of the system is a directory composed of 16 "indices." Each index contains all the codes and associated key words by which the user may select particular classes of information. There is for instance an index of investigators with their associated code numbers, an index of pesticide names which utilizes Caswell numbers as codes, an index of application methods, soil types, factors studies, etc.

The field scientist requesting chemical analysis submits to the laboratory both his samples and an analysis request form (Figure 1) containing all pertinent field information. Laboratory parameters and analytical results are added to the form, and the directory number is inserted in the appropriate boxes. Additional results may be continued on a second page; thus the page number and total number of pages in the data set are recorded on the upper right-hand corner. When the forms are completed, the coded data found in the boxes is transferred to an 80-column punch card. The format for these data is shown in Figure 2. Columns 1–53 of the first card are reserved for Experimental Conditions. Residue

Table	I.	Pestic	ide	Residue	Information
	Ret	rieval	Sea	rch Proc	cedure

Possible select keys	Key words of interest	Card column	Directory number
Pesticide	Torak	1-5	10014
Crop	Grape	6-10	140
Application type	Foliage	11-15	5
Application method	Ground spray, high	16-20	45
	pressure		
Formulation		21-25	
Factor studied	Weathering	26-30	45
Pesticide class	-	31-35	
Soil type			3640
Investigator			41-45
Source		46-50	
Application rate		51-55	
Field comments		56-60	
Analytical method	Gas chromatography	61-65	20
Extraction method	Reynolds	66-70	30
Analyst		71-75	
Lab comment		76-80	

data for the first sample are placed in columns 54-69, and if the Experimental Conditions represent only this one residue determination, an "end of data" mark (asterisk) is placed by column 72. If more samples are represented by the conditions, then no "end of data" mark is used on the first card. Additional residue data are placed on additional cards, as shown on Additional Data Card (Figure 2). As many additional cards may be used as required, and the last card need not be completely filled. An "end of data" mark, however, must be placed in column 72 of the last card containing residue data. A complete data set for the example given in Table I is reproduced on Figure 2.

Columns 73–80 of all cards are reserved for a short code to be used in returning cards to their proper data set in the event they become rearranged. Columns 74–78 are used for the data set number (usually starting with 00001, with increments of 1 for each new data set), right justified. Columns 79–80 are reserved for the card number within the data set starting with 01, with increments to 99 in steps of 1. Within our laboratories, column 73 is used to designate which laboratory originated the data (*i.e.*, 0 for Geneva and 1 for Ithaca). In the example given, the zero in column 73 signifies that the data were collected at Geneva. The digits 00003 in columns 74–78 represent the number of a data set described by a given set of field conditions, and the final two digits indicate the sequence of cards within the set.

The information on these data cards is then reorganized by a computer program for efficiency in generating reports and written on a disk cartridge, where it forms a master file of all the pesticide residue information which has been sampled in Geneva and Ithaca. Information from current and future projects on new and familiar compounds will continuously update the system and make possible contemporary and instantaneous retrieval.

The master file and a single card into which the user punches 1 to 16 codes representing the index key words to be searched comprises the input to the Select program. Increasing the number of codes by which the program selects the information results in a narrower range of information being retrieved. A typical input card to search the indicated keywords is shown in Table I. The result of using the keywords given in the example resulted in the recovery of experimental data, shown in Figure 3. Additional data contained on the master file and defined by these keywords were also recovered.

PESTICIDE RESIDUE INFORMATION RETRIEVAL INPUT DATA SET

(Example)

	Barvest Date 585	Sample Date Sample Date	Kesiduc Residuc				End of Data	Mark 3000003	Card No.	
			Las	it Card o	f Series Wil	th End of Do	ata Mark			
	Barvest Date 285	240 15 Sample Date	Number Residue Ilarvest Date	Sample Date Sample Number	Vestorie Namber Sample Date Sample Date Sample Date Sample Date Sample Date	Residue Bravest Date Sumple Date	248 2.8 Sample Residue	2 00000 3 South So	0 2 Card No.	
				Ad	ditional Cai	-ds as Requi	red			
	P17	140 10 deg	Pestic. Class Form Source Source Source	App. Met. App. 1.2 2 1.2 2 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	Plant Date Com. Fight	Factor Pactor Ana. Met. Analyst Ext. Met. Com.	Harves Date Sample Date Date Sample No. Sample No.	Residue Sout Code	Card No.	
					CARD) # 1				
]	Figure 2. A data	a set as punch	ed which shows th	ne coded input of	the data listed i	n Figure 1		
			NEW YORK SI	ATE AGRICI Cornei	ULTURAL EXPE L UNIVERSIT	RIMENT STATIC Y, ITHACA, N.	N, GENEVA, Y.	N•Y•		
				PESTICI CROPLICA APPLICA FORMICA FORTULA FACTOR SOIL TY INVESTICA ANALYCI EXTALYCA ANALYCI EXTALYCA FIELD C YEAR RATUSE RATIE NUMB LAS PLANTIN	DE TION TYPE TION METHOD TION STUDIED L CLASS PE GATOR L SOURCE TION RATE CAL METHOD ION METHOD ION METHOD MENTS CAL METHOD MENTS LNGREDIENT APPLICATIONS T APPL. G DATA	TORAK GRAPES FOLIAGE GRD +HIGH POWDEF WEATHERING INSECTICIDE NOT REPORTE TASCHENBERG HERCULES I *50 LBS/A GCFPO REYNOLDS GIBBS, S, SAMPLES FRC NOT REPORTE 50 PERCENT 3 240 NOT REPORTE	ZEN			
HARVEST HARVEST HARVEST HARVEST HARVEST HARVEST HARVEST HARVEST HARVEST HARVEST HARVEST HARVEST HARVEST HARVEST HARVEST HARVEST HARVEST HARVEST	DATEE DATEE	22222222222222222222222222222222222222	SAMPL SAMPL SAMPL SAMPL SAMPL SAMPL SAMPL SAMPL SAMPL SAMPL SAMPL SAMPL SAMPL SAMPL SAMPL SAMPL SAMPL SAMPL SAMPL SAMPL	ING DATE ING DATE	240 240 248 248 248 257 257 257 257 261 261 268 268 268 268 268 268 282 282 282 282	SAMPLE NO. SAMPLE NO.	1526 1527 1528 1576 1578 1622 1622 1622 1625 1675 1675 1677 1712 1713 1712 1823 1823	RESIDUE RESIDUE RESIDUE RESIDUE RESIDUE RESIDUE RESIDUE RESIDUE RESIDUE RESIDUE RESIDUE RESIDUE RESIDUE RESIDUE RESIDUE RESIDUE RESIDUE RESIDUE RESIDUE RESIDUE	5.6000 4.2000 6.6000 2.8000 3.0000 2.4200 1.4200 1.5800 2.7500 2.8200 1.8100 2.3800 2.3800 2.3600 1.5600 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2

Figure 3. Actual output of recovered data

The entire retrieval system is written in Fortran IV and designed to run on the IBM system 1800 or 1130. The system, which has been operational for about 2 years, is relatively easy to use and maintain, and experimental data or directory terms may be added as required. The inclusion of the investigators and analysts' names, as well as sample number, give the user a source for additional field and lab data which may not be in the master file.

In practice, the user either prepares a punch card containing the search parameters and initiates a batch processing program or enters his parameters through a typewriter keyboard as a time-sharing program. In the latter case, when processing on the IBM 1800, his program will directly enter the background processing queue for execution on a time-sharing basis with laboratory monitoring.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors wish to acknowledge the use of field data collected by E. F. Taschenberg, Vineyard Laboratory, New York State Agricultural Experiment Station, Fredonia, N. Y. 14063.

LITERATURE CITED

Elias, A. W., Warren, M. R., J. Chem. Doc. 2, 185 (1962).
Geer, H. A., Howard, C. C., J. Chem. Doc. 2, 51 (1962).
Waldo, W. H., Hamm, P. C., Hannah, L. H., Dunn, P. V., Billman, R. C., J. Chem. Doc. 4, 218 (1964).
Wieman, J. A., J. Chem. Doc. 4, 209 (1964).

John B. Bourke* Gloria Loftus Donald J. Lisk¹

Pesticide Residue Research Laboratories New York State Agricultural Experiment Station Geneva, New York 14456

¹ Cornell Agricultural Experiment Station Cornell University Ithaca, New York 14850

Received for review April 17, 1972. Accepted August 16, 1972. Approved by the Director of the New York State Agricultural Experiment Station as Journal Paper No. 1800, April 17, 1971. This work was supported in part by the Regional Research Program, NE-36.

Identification of Photoaldrin Chlorohydrin as a Photoalteration Product of Dieldrin

A photoproduct produced by the thin film irradiation of dieldrin (I) with germicidal lamps has been isolated and identified. Based on glc, ir, nmr, ms, and melting point comparisons with independently synthesized material, the product (IV) is considered to be a chlorohydrin derived from photodieldrin. The isolation and synthesis of the chlorohydrin is described.

S olid-state irradiation of thin films of dieldrin (I) produces photodieldrin (II) and several minor photoproducts (Benson, 1971; Harrison *et al.*, 1967; Rosen *et al.*, 1966). Benson reported that one minor product appeared to be a chlorohydrin (IV) derived from photodieldrin. We have investigated this chlorohydrin.

EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

Photoaldrin Chlorohydrin (IV) (1,1,2,3,3a,5(or 6),7a-hep-tachloro-6(or 5)-hydroxydecahydro-2,4,7-metheno-1H-cyclopenta[a]pentalene). Ten milliliters of concentrated HCl and 0.5 g of ZnCl₂ were added to a solution of 1.0 g (2.6 mmol) of <math>99 + % photodieldrin in 100 ml of absolute ethanol. (The samples of dieldrin and photodieldrin were prepared for use as reference standards in earlier work; their purities were determined by electron capture glc.) The solution was heated on a steam bath for 18 hr and then poured into 300 ml of water. The white precipitate was recrystallized once from acetone-hexane to give 0.8 g (1.9 mmol, 73\%) of colorless crystalline product, mp 204.5-206.5°.

The mass spectrum showed a molecular ion at m/e 414 (calculated, 414) and an intense M – Cl peak at m/e 379. The chlorine isotopic ratio observed indicated that the compound contained 7 chlorine atoms. The ir (KBr) showed a nonbonded hydroxyl at 3600 cm⁻¹ and major bands at 1053 and 818 cm⁻¹. The nmr spectrum (100 MHz in DMSO- d_{θ}) showed a singlet at δ 5.56, a doublet of doublets at δ 4.16 (J = 5.2 Hz), a doublet of doublets at δ 3.73 (J = 5.2 Hz), and the hydroxyl proton as a doublet at δ 5.76 (J = 5 Hz). Gas chromatographic retention times relative to aldrin on a 6-ft

10% DC-200 column and a mixed 10% DC-200 and 15% QF-1 column were 8.6 and 11.6, respectively. The operating parameters are described in the Pesticide Analytical Manual (1968).

Anal. C, calcd 34.54; found, 34.55, 34.56. H, calcd 2.28; found, 2.10, 2.11.

Aldrin chlorohydrin (III) was prepared in the same manner as IV in 84% yield, mp 150–152°. The mass spectrum showed a molecular ion at m/e 414 (calcd, 414) and a strong M – Cl peak at m/e 379. The observed chlorine isotopic ratio indicated a total of 7 chlorine atoms in the molecule. The ir (KBr) showed a hydrogen-bonded hydroxyl at 3240 cm⁻¹, the double bond at 1595 cm⁻¹, and major bands at 1054, 1037, 817, and 808 cm⁻¹. Its glc retention times relative to aldrin on the DC-200 and mixed columns were 3.6 and 4.0, respectively.

Photoaldrin Chlorohydrin (IV) from Irradiation of I. Thin films of 99.7% dieldrin (see above) (200 mg each, 2 g total) in five 0.5-in. petri dishes were exposed to two G.E. G30T8 germicidal lamps at a distance of 4.5 cm for 24 hr. The tan solids were combined and crystallized from 5 ml of hot absolute ethanol. The solid (photodieldrin) was filtered off and the mother liquor was found to be much richer in photoaldrin chlorohydrin (glc). Additional crystallization of photodieldrin occurred on standing; the filtrate contained approximately 50% of the desired component (by measurement of glc peak area) in addition to photodieldrin and unreacted dieldrin.

Evaporation of solvent from the filtrate gave a dark oil which was chromatographed on a silica gel column with benzene as eluting solvent. Several fractions rich in the desired component (glc) were obtained. Concentration of the com-